arXiv:1604.03015v1 [math.NT] 11 Apr 2016

THE HAIGHT-RUZSA METHOD FOR SETS WITH MORE DIFFERENCES THAN MULTIPLE SUMS

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

Abstract. Let h be a positive integer and let $\varepsilon > 0$. The Haight-Ruzsa method produces a positive integer m^* and a subset A of the additive abelian group $\mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$ such that the difference set is large in the sense that $A - A = \mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$ and h-fold sumset is small in the sense that $|hA| < \varepsilon m^*$. This note describes, and in a modest way extends, the Haight-Ruzsa argument, and constructs sets with more differences than multiple sums in other additive abelian groups.

1. Sets with more sums than differences

Let W be an additive abelian group. For every subset A of W, we define the *difference set*

$$A - A = \{a_1 - a_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A\}$$

and, for every positive integer h, the *h-fold sumset*

$$hA = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h : a_i \in A \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, h\}.$$

In particular,

$$2A = \{a_1 + a_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A\}.$$

Because

$$a_1 + a_2 = a_2 + a_1$$

but

$$a_1 - a_2 = -(a_2 - a_1)$$

it is reasonable to expect that

$$|A + A| \leq |A - A|$$

for "most" but not necessarily all finite nonempty subsets A of W. A set with more sums than differences is called an MSTD set. For example, in the additive group $\mathbf{Z}$ of integers, the set

$$A = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 14\}$$

is an MSTD set:

$$A + A = [0, 28] \setminus \{1, 20, 27\}$$
$$A - A = [-14, 14] \setminus \{\pm 6, \pm 13\}$$

and so

$$|A + A| = 26 > 25 = |A - A|.$$

Date: April 12, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A17, 11B13, 11B30, 11B75, 11P99.

Key words and phrases. MSTD sets, additive number theory, combinatorial number theory.

Supported in part by a grant from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

Several families of MSTD sets of integers have been constructed, but there is no classification of such sets and many unsolved problems remain (cf. Hegarty [3], Hegarty and Miller [2], Iyer, Lazarov, Miller, and Zhang [4, 5], Martin and O'Bryant [6], Nathanson [7, 8]).

A dual problem is to construct sets with more differences than multiple sums, that is, finite sets A in a group W such that the difference set $|A - A|$ is large but the h-fold sumset hA is small. In 1973, Haight [1] proved that for all positive integers h and ℓ there exists a modulus m^* and a subset A of $\mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$A - A = \mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$$

but hA omits ℓ consecutive congruence classes. Recently, Ruzsa [9] refined and improved Haight's method, and proved the following: For every positive integer h and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a modulus m^* and a subset A of $\mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$A - A = \mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$$

and

$$|hA| < \varepsilon m^*.$$

The purpose of this note is to describe, and in a modest way extend, the Haight-Ruzsa argument, and construct sets with more differences than multiple sums in other additive abelian groups.

2. Haight-Ruzsa method

Let W be an abelian group, and let $f : W \to W$ be a function, not necessarily a homomorphism. We define the subset

$$A(W, f) = \{w + f(w) : w \in W\} \cup \{f(w)) : w \in W\}.$$

For all $w \in W$, we have

$$w = (w + f(w)) - f(w)$$

and so $A(W, f)$ is a *subtractive basis* for W, that is, $A(W, f)$ satisfies the difference set identity

$$A - A = W.$$

For every positive integer h and $\varepsilon > 0$, the Haight-Ruzsa method constructs a finite abelian group W and a function $f : W \to W$ such that the h-fold sumset of $A(W, f)$ is small in the sense that

$$|hA(W, f)| < \varepsilon |W|. \tag{1}$$

Let $z \in W$. For every positive integer h, the element z is in the h-fold sumset $hA(W, f)$ if and only if there exist functions

$$\alpha, \beta : W \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, h\} \tag{2}$$

such that

$$h = \sum_{w \in W} (\alpha(w) + \beta(w)) \tag{3}$$

and

$$z = \sum_{w \in W} (\alpha(w)(w + f(w)) + \beta(w) f(w)). \tag{4}$$

A pair of functions (α, β) that satisfies conditions (2) and (3) is called an *admissible pair for* W, and the element $z \in hA(W, f)$ defined by (4) is called the group

element represented by the admissible pair (α, β). An element $z \in hA(W, f)$ can be represented by many different admissible pairs.

An admissible pair (α, β) has *level* ℓ if

$$\ell = \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \alpha(w)+\beta(w) \geq 1}} 1. \tag{5}$$

Condition (3) implies that $\alpha(w) + \beta(w) \geq 1$ for some $w \in W$, and so

$$\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}.$$

If z in hA is represented by the pair (α, β), then the level of the pair counts the number of $w \in W$ such that at least one of the group elements $w + f(w)$ and $f(w)$ appears in the representation (4) of z.

Let $L_\ell(W, f)$ be the set of all $z \in W$ such that z can be represented by an admissible pair of level at most ℓ. We have

$$L_1(W, f) \subseteq L_2(W, f) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_h(W, f) = hA(W, f).$$

The Haight-Ruzsa method inductively constructs a sequence of groups

$$W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_h = W$$

and functions $f_i : W_i \to W_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, h$ such that

$$A(W_1, f_1) \subseteq A(W_2, f_2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A(W_h, f_h)$$

and the sumset $hA(W_h, f_h) = L_h(W_h, f_h)$ satisfies inequality (1).

3. Preliminary

Let h be a positive integer, and let $\mathcal{R}_h$ be the set of commutative rings with identity such that, if $R \in \mathcal{R}_h$, then r is a unit in R for all $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}$. For example, if p is a prime number and $p > h$, then $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{R}_h$. Let $R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_n \in \mathcal{R}_h$. The direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n R_i$ is a ring with identity $(1_{R_0}, 1_{R_1}, \ldots, 1_{R_n})$, and $(r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ is a unit in $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n R_i$ if $r_i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Thus, $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$. If M_i is a finite R_i-module for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, then $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n M_i$ is a finite $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n R_i$-module. The group W_h will be constructed as the direct sum of a finite number of finite R_i-modules M_i, where $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

We use the following simple combinatorial inequality.

Lemma 1. *Let $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_n$ be finite sets, let*

$$W = M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n$$

and let

$$(x_0^*, x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*) \in W.$$

If

$$S = \left\{(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in W : x_j = x_j^* \text{ for some } j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\right\}$$

then

$$|S| \leq |W| \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{|M_j|}.$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $|M_j| > (n+1)/\varepsilon$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, then $|S| < \varepsilon |W|$.

Proof. The cardinality of W is

$$|W| = \prod_{i=0}^{n} |M_i|.$$

For $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, the number of elements $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in W$ with $x_j = x_j^*$ is

$$\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} |M_i| = \frac{|W|}{|M_j|}.$$

If

$$S = \left\{(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in W : x_j = x_j^* \text{ for some } j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}\right\}$$

then

$$|S| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{|W|}{|M_j|} = |W| \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{|M_j|}.$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $|M_j| > (n+1)/\varepsilon$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, then $|S| < \varepsilon |W|$. This completes the proof. □

4. Initial step

Let h be a positive integer and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose numbers $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_h$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \cdots < \varepsilon_h < \varepsilon.$$

For $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, h$, let $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$, let M_i be a finite R_i-module such that $|M_i| > (h+1)/\varepsilon_1$, and let

$$W_1 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{h} M_i.$$

We write the element $w_1 \in W_1$ as an $(h+1)$-tuple

$$w_1 = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_h) \tag{6}$$

where $x_i \in M_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, h$. Recall that h is a unit in R_i. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, h$, we define the function $g_i : W_1 \to M_i$ by

$$g_i(w_1) = -\frac{i}{h} x_i$$

and we define the function $f_1 : W_1 \to W_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(w_1) &= (g_0(x_0), g_1(x_1), \ldots, g_h(x_h)) \\ &= \left(0, -\frac{1}{h}x_1, \ldots, -\frac{i}{h}x_i, \ldots, -\frac{h-1}{h}x_{h-1}, -x_h\right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A(W_1, f_1) = \{w_1 + f_1(w_1) : w_1 \in W_1\} \cup \{f_1(w_1) : w_1 \in W_1\}.$$

The level 1 set $L_1(W_1, f_1)$ is the set of all $x \in hA(W_1, f_1)$ of the form

$$x = j(w_1 + f_1(w_1)) + (h-j)f_1(w_1) = jw_1 + hf_1(w_1)$$

for some

$$j \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, h\}. \tag{7}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &jw_1 + hf_1(w_1) \\ &\quad = j(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_h) + h\left(0, -\frac{1}{h}x_1, \ldots, -\frac{i}{h}x_i, \ldots, -\frac{h-1}{h}x_{h-1}, -x_h\right) \\ &\quad = (jx_0, jx_1, \ldots, jx_i, \ldots, jx_h) - (0, x_1, \ldots, ix_i, \ldots, hx_h) \\ &\quad = (jx_0, (j-1)x_1, \ldots, (j-i)x_i, \ldots, (j-h)x_h). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7) that at least one coordinate of this $(h+1)$-tuple is 0. Applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|L_1(W_1, f_1)| \leq |W_1| \sum_{i=0}^{h} \frac{1}{|M_i|} < \varepsilon_1 |W_1|.$$

5. Inductive step

Let $1 \leq k \leq h-1$, and assume that we have a ring $R_0 \in \mathcal{R}_h$, a finite R_0-module W_k, and a function $f_k : W_k \to W_k$ such that the sets

$$A(W_k, f_k) = \{w_k + f_k(w_k) : w_k \in W_k\} \cup \{f_k(w_k) : w_k \in W_k\}$$

and

$$L_k(W_k, f_k) = \{w_k \in hA_k : \text{level}(w_k) \leq k\}$$

satisfy

$$|L_k(W_k, f_k)| < \varepsilon_k |W_k|.$$

Because W_k is a finite set, the number of admissible pairs on W_k is finite. Let n be the number of admissible pairs of level exactly $k+1$ with respect to W_k. We denote these pairs by (α_i, β_i) for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. It follows from (3) that

$$\alpha_i(w_k) + \beta_i(w_k) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, h\}$$

for all $w_k \in W_k$.

For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$, and let M_i be a finite R_i-module such that

$$|M_i| > \frac{n}{\varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_k}.$$

The set

$$W_{k+1} = W_k \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$$

is a finite module over the ring $R_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$. We denote the components of $w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}$ as follows:

$$w_{k+1} = (w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

where $w_k \in W_k$ and $x_i \in M_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Define the projection $\pi_0 : W_{k+1} \to W_k$ by

$$\pi_0(w_{k+1}) = w_k.$$

For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we define the projection $\pi_i : W_{k+1} \to M_i$ by

$$\pi_i(w_{k+1}) = x_i$$

and we define the function $g_i : W_{k+1} \to M_i$ as follows: If $\pi_0(w_{k+1}) = w_k$ and

$$\alpha_i(w_k) + \beta_i(w_k) = 0$$

then

$$g_i(w_{k+1}) = 0.$$

If

$$\alpha_i(w_k) + \beta_i(w_k) \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$$

then

$$g_i(w_{k+1}) = -\frac{\alpha_i(w_k)x_i}{\alpha_i(w_k) + \beta_i(w_k)}.$$

The function g_i is well defined because r is a unit in R_i for all $r \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$.

Define the function $f_{k+1} : W_{k+1} \to W_{k+1}$ by

$$f_{k+1}(w_{k+1}) = (f_k(w_k), g_1(w_{k+1}), \ldots, g_n(w_{k+1})) .$$

We have

$$w_{k+1} + f_{k+1}(w_{k+1}) = (w_k + f(w_k), x_1 + g_1(w_{k+1}), \ldots, x_n + g_n(w_{k+1})) .$$

Consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} &A(W_{k+1}, f_{k+1}) \\ &\quad = \{w_{k+1} + f_{k+1}(w_{k+1}) : w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}\} \cup \{f_{k+1}(w_{k+1}) : w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}\} . \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\pi_0 (f_{k+1}(w_{k+1})) = f(w_k)$$

and

$$\pi_0 (w_{k+1} + f_{k+1}(w_{k+1})) = w_k + f(w_k)$$

it follows that

$$\pi_0(A_{k+1}(W_{k+1}, f_{k+1})) = A_k(W_k, f_k).$$

The $(k+1)$-level set $L_{k+1}(W_{k+1}, f_{k+1})$ is the set of all elements $z_{k+1} \in hA_{k+1}$ that can be represented by an admissible pair (γ, δ) of level at most $k+1$. We define the functions

$$\hat{\gamma} : W_k \to \mathbf{N}_0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\delta} : W_k \to \mathbf{N}_0$$

as follows: For $w_k \in W_k$, let

$$\hat{\gamma}(w_k) = \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \\ \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} \gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

and

$$\hat{\delta}(w_k) = \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \\ \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

We have

$$\hat{\gamma}(w_k) + \hat{\delta}(w_k) = \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \\ \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} (\gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) + \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{w_k \in W_k} (\hat{\gamma}(w_k) + \hat{\delta}(w_k)) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{w_k \in W_k} \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \\ \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} (\gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) + \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} (\gamma(w_{k+1}) + \delta(w_{k+1})) \\
&\qquad = h.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta})$ is an admissible pair of functions on W_k.

Because (γ, δ) is an admissible pair of functions on W_{k+1} of level at most $k+1$, it follows that $\gamma(w_{k+1}) + \delta(w_{k+1}) \geq 1$ for at most $k+1$ elements $w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}$. Identity (8) implies that if $w_k \in W_k$ and $\hat{\gamma}(w_k) + \hat{\delta}(w_k) \geq 1$, then there exists $w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}$ such that $\pi_0(w_{k+1}) = w_k$ and $\gamma(w_{k+1}) + \delta(w_{k+1}) \geq 1$. It follows that $\hat{\gamma}(w_k) + \hat{\delta}(w_k) \geq 1$ for at most $k+1$ elements $w_k \in W_k$, and so the pair $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta})$ has level at most $k+1$. Similarly, if the pair (γ, δ) has level at most k, then the pair $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta})$ has level at most k.

If $z_{k+1} \in L(W_{k+1}, f_{k+1})$, then z_{k+1} is represented by an admissible pair (γ, δ) of level at most $k+1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{k+1} &= \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} (\gamma(w_{k+1}) (w_{k+1} + f_{k+1}(w_{k+1})) + \delta(w_{k+1}) f_{k+1}(w_{k+1})) \\
&= \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} ((\gamma(w_{k+1})(w_k + f(w_k), x_1 + g_1(w_{k+1}), \ldots, x_n + g_n(w_{k+1})) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \delta(w_{k+1})(f(w_k), g_1(w_{k+1}), \ldots, g_n(w_{k+1}))) .
\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_i(z_{k+1}) &= \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} (\gamma(w_{k+1})(x_i + g_i(w_{k+1}) + \delta(w_{k+1}) g_i(w_{k+1})) \\
&= \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} (\gamma(w_{k+1}) x_i + (\gamma(w_{k+1}) + \delta(w_{k+1})) g_i(w_{k+1})) \in M_i.
\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z_k &= \pi_0(z_{k+1}) \\
&= \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} (\gamma(w_{k+1})(w_k + f(w_k)) + \delta(w_{k+1}) f(w_k)) \\
&= \sum_{w_k \in W_k} \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \\ \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} (\gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)(w_k + f(w_k)) + \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) f(w_k)) \\
&= \sum_{w_k \in W_k} \hat{\gamma}(w_k)(w_k + f(w_k)) + \hat{\delta}(w_k) f(w_k)
\end{aligned}$$

and so z_k is an element of $hA(W_k, f_k)$ that is represented by the admissible pair $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta})$ of level at most $k+1$. If the admissible pair $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta})$ has level at most k, then $z_k \in L_k(W_k, f_k)$.

Suppose that $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta})$ is an admissible pair in W_k of level exactly $k+1$. It follows that the admissible pair (γ, δ) in W_{k+1} also has level exactly $k+1$. Because $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i) : i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of all admissible pairs on W_k of level $k+1$, there is a unique integer $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}) = (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$. Let

$$S = \{w_k \in W_k : \alpha_j(w_k) + \beta_j(w_k) \geq 1\}.$$

There are exactly $k+1$ elements $w_k \in W_k$ that appear in the representation of z_k associated with the admissible pair (α, β), and so $|S| = k+1$. If $w_k \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \alpha_j(w_k) + \beta_j(w_k) = \hat{\gamma}(w_k) + \hat{\delta}(w_k) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \\ \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} (\gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) + \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)) . \end{aligned}$$

Because the admissible pair (γ, δ) in W_{k+1} has level $k+1$, for each $w_k \in S$, there is a unique n-tuple $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j(w_k) + \beta_j(w_k) &= \hat{\gamma}(w_k) + \hat{\delta}(w_k) \\ &= \gamma(w_k, y_1, \ldots, y_n) + \delta(w_k, y_1, \ldots, y_n) \\ &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) + \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n$ with $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \neq (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j(z_{k+1}) &= \sum_{w_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}} (\gamma(w_{k+1})x_j + (\gamma(w_{k+1}) + \delta(w_{k+1}))g_j(w_{k+1})) \\ &= \sum_{w_k \in W_k} \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \\ M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} \gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)x_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{w_k \in W_k} \sum_{\substack{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \\ M_1 \times \cdots \times M_n}} (\gamma(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n) + \delta(w_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)) g_j(w_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{w_k \in W_k} (\gamma(w_k, y_1, \ldots, y_n)x_j + (\gamma(w_k, y_1, \ldots, y_n) + \delta(w_k, y_1, \ldots, y_n))g_j(w_{k+1})) \\ &= \sum_{w_k \in W_k} (\alpha_j(w_k)x_j + (\alpha_j(w_k) + \beta_j(w_k))g_j(w_{k+1})) \\ &= \sum_{w_k \in W_k} \left(\alpha_j(w_k)x_j + (\alpha_j(w_k) + \beta_j(w_k)) \left(-\frac{\alpha_j(w_k)x_j}{\alpha_j(w_k) + \beta_j(w_k)} \right) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, if $z_{k+1} \in L_{k+1}(W_{k+1}, f_{k+1})$, then $\pi_0(z_{k+1}) \in L_k(W_k, f_k)$ or $\pi_j(z_{k+1}) = 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Because $|L_k(W_k, f_k)| < \varepsilon_k |W_k|$, the number of elements $z_{k+1} \in W_{k+1}$ with $\pi_0(z_{k+1}) \in L_k(W_k, f_k)$ is at most

$$\varepsilon_k |W_k| |M_1| \cdots |M_n| = \varepsilon_k |W_{k+1}|.$$

For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the number of elements in W_{k+1} with $\pi_j(z_{k+1}) = 0$ is

$$|W_k| \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} |M_i| = \frac{|W_{k+1}|}{|M_j|} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_k}{n} \right) |W_{k+1}|$$

and so

$$|L_{k+1}(W_{k+1}, f_{k+1}| < \varepsilon_k |W_{k+1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{k+1} - \varepsilon_k}{n} \right) |W_{k+1}| = \varepsilon_{k+1} |W_{k+1}|.$$

This completes the induction. With $k = h$, we obtain

$$hA(W_h, f_h) = L_h(W_h, f_h) < \varepsilon_h |W_h| < \varepsilon |W_h|.$$

This completes the proof.

6. Examples

Example 1: Let h be a positive integer and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}_h$ be the set of positive integers m such that every prime divisor of m is greater than h. If $m \in \mathcal{M}_h$, then every integer $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$ is a unit in the ring $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$, and so $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{R}_h$ and $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ is a finite $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$-module. In the Haight-Ruzsa construction, if we choose modules $M_i = \mathbf{Z}/m_i\mathbf{Z}$ with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_i \in \mathcal{M}_h$, then we obtain a finite abelian group

$$W_h = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}/m_i\mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{Z}/m^*\mathbf{Z}$$

where

$$m^* = \prod_{i \in I} m_i$$

and a function $f_h : W_h \to W_h$ such that the set

$$A = A(W_h, f_h) = \{w_h + f_h(w_h) : w_h \in W_h\} \cup \{f_h(w_h) : w_h \in W_h\}$$

satisfies $A - A = W_h$ and $|hA| < \varepsilon |W_h|$. This is Ruzsa's result, with m_i prime for all i and m^* square-free.

Example 2: Let h be a positive integer and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathbf{F}_{q_i}$ be the finite field with $q_i = p_i^{k_i}$ and $p_i > h$. In the Haight-Ruzsa construction, we can choose modules M_i that are finite-dimensional vector spaces over the field $\mathbf{F}_{q_i}$ of sufficiently large dimension. We obtain a finite abelian group W_h that is a direct sum of vector spaces. If each of these vector spaces is a vector space over the same field $\mathbf{F}_q$, then W_h is a vector space over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

Example 3: Let h be a positive integer and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathbf{F}_q$ be the finite field with $q = p^k$ and $p > h$, and let $\mathbf{F}_q[t]$ be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $d_0 = 0 < d_1 < d_2 < \cdots$ be a sufficiently rapidly increasing sequence of integers. We choose finite subspaces of $\mathbf{F}_q[t]$ of the form

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=d_{i-1}}^{d_i - 1} c_j t^j : c_j \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}.$$

The Haight-Ruzsa method produces a subspace W_h of the vector space $\mathbf{F}_q[t]$ consisting of all polynomials of degree less than d_h.

7. Open problems

1. Let h be a positive integer and $\varepsilon > 0$. Does there exist a prime p and a set A in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ such that $A - A = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ and $|hA| < \varepsilon p$? Do such sets exist for all sufficiently large primes p?

2. Let h be a positive integer, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $c > 0$. Do there exist an additive abelian group W with $|W| > c$ and a subset A of W such that $A - A = W$ and the set

$$G = \{2a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h : a_i \in A \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, h\}$$

satisfies

$$|G| < \varepsilon |W|?$$

3. Let $F(x_1, \ldots, x_h) = \sum_{i=1}^{h} r_i x_i$ be a linear form with coefficients in a ring R, and let W be an R-module. For every subset A of W, we define

$$F(A) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{h} r_i a_i : a_i \in A \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, h \right\}.$$

It is an open problem to determine the pairs of linear forms (F, G) such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$, there exists a finite R-module W with $|W| > c$, $F(A) = W$, and $|G(A)| < \varepsilon |W|$. The Haight-Ruzsa produces modules for which this condition is satisfied for the pair of linear forms (F, G), where

$$F(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$$

and

$$G(x_1, \ldots, x_h) = x_1 + \cdots + x_h.$$

4. If F and G are polynomials with coefficients in a commutative ring R, and if $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$, does there exist a finite R-algebra W with $|W| > c$ and a subset A of W such that $F(A) = W$ and $|G(A)| < \varepsilon |W|$.

References

[1] J. A. Haight, *Difference covers which have small k-sums for any k*, Mathematika **20** (1973), 109–118.

[2] P. Hegarty and S. J. Miller, *When almost all sets are difference dominated*, Random Structures Algorithms **35** (2009), no. 1, 118–136.

[3] P. V. Hegarty, *Some explicit constructions of sets with more sums than differences*, Acta Arith. **130** (2007), 61–77.

[4] G. Iyer, O. Lazarev, S. J. Miller, and L. Zhang, *Generalized more sums than differences sets*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 5, 1054–1073.

[5] ———, *Finding and counting MSTD sets*, Combinatorial and additive number theory—CANT 2011 and 2012, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 101, Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 79–98.

[6] G. Martin and K. O'Bryant, *Many sets have more sums than differences*, Additive combinatorics, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 287–305.

[7] M. B. Nathanson, *Problems in additive number theory. I*, Additive combinatorics, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 263–270.

[8] ———, *Sets with more sums than differences*, Integers **7** (2007), A5, 24.

[9] I. Z. Ruzsa, *More differences than multiple sums*, arXiv: 1601.04146, 2016.

Department of Mathematics, Lehman College (CUNY), Bronx, NY 10468
E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu